

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND YIELD OF M₄ INDIAN MUSTARD MUTANTS

R. D. Deotale¹, Ashish P. Dhongade², S. R. Kamdi³, Vandana S. Madke⁴,
M. P. Meshram⁵ and Vandana B. Kalamkar⁶

ABSTRACT

To study the proximate biochemical composition and yield of twenty M₄ Indian mustard mutants, an experiment was conducted and work was subjected to the comparative evaluation of its chemical and biochemical parameters viz., total chlorophyll, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, protein, and oil. Among these twenty mutants, the highest chlorophyll, N, P, K at 25, 45, and 65 DAS, and protein and oil after harvesting was obtained from ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈ and ACM₄. In case of proximate analysis, the highest chlorophyll, N, P, K, protein and oil were recorded from ACM₁₈. The oil content of different mutants of mustard varied from 33.30 - 42.67%. ACM₁₈ (28.13 %) contained the highest amount of seed protein. All the chemical and biochemical traits were showed highly significant and positive correlation with seed yield. Substantial genetic variability exists for chemical composition and nutritional traits which could be utilized to suggest the future strategy. Hence, these five mutants are recommended for breeding programme and testing.

(Key words: Mustard mutants, morpho-physiological parameters, yield)

INTRODUCTION

Mustard specifically refers to *Brassica juncea* and *Erucasativa*. There are considerable differences in agronomic characteristics, yield and composition of seed oil between species and between varieties. Mustard is the third major source of edible vegetable oils in the world, after soybean and oily palm (Anonymous, 2011). The tender leaves of these cultivars serve as vegetable, while the seeds as a source of lubricating and cooking oil. The residue left after oil extraction (i.e., oil cake or meal) being rich in protein be used as livestock feed. It produces 9 k cal energy from 1g of oil unit⁻¹ in comparison with other diets (carbohydrate and protein). In a balanced diet for human health 20-25% of calories should come from fats and oils. The protein quality and quantity of *B. campestris* obtained oil cake is high. (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2014). Mustard is a high yielding oilseed with a reasonably high content of oil (Riley, 2004). Mustard seeds have high energy content, having 28-32% oil with relatively high protein content (28-36%).

The present research work has been designed to study biochemical analysis as well as their interrelation to the seed yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dry healthy seeds of *Brassica juncea* (L.) Pusa bold and Bio-902 treated with gamma rays and EMS. The gamma rays treatment of 900, 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300 Gy (Co⁶⁰) was done at BARC Trombay, Mumbai. Each of these treatments were treated with 0.5 per cent aqueous solution of EMS. The M₁ generation was raised during 2014-15 and individual plant in each treatment were harvested separately. The harvested seeds were used to raise M₂ generation.

During *rabi* 2015-16 mutants were identified from Pusa bold and Bio-902 (M₂ generation), these identified mutants along with 2 checks (Pusa bold and Bio-902.) were used in M₃ generation during *rabi* 2016-17.

The true breeding and stable mutants selected from M₃ generation were evaluated for biochemical and yield traits in M₄ generation in RBD with 3 replications during *rabi* 2017-18. The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications consisting of twenty two mutants. Observations on total chlorophyll, N, P, K were recorded at 25, 45 and 65 DAS. Observations on seed oil and protein content were also recorded. Simple correlation of all chemical and biochemical parameters with yield was calculated as per following formula.

1 Professor, Botany Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur

2 P.G. Student, Botany Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur

3, 4 and 5 Asstt. Professor, Botany Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur.

6 Sr. Res. Assistant, Botany Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur.

$$r_g = \frac{\text{gcov } x \text{ } y}{(\hat{\sigma}_g x)(\hat{\sigma}_g y)}$$

r_g = genotypic correlation coefficient

gcov x y = genotypic covariance between the character x and y

$\hat{\sigma}_g x$ = genotypic standard deviation of x

$\hat{\sigma}_g y$ = genotypic standard deviation of y

The chemical and biochemical parameters *viz.*, leaf chlorophyll, nitrogen, phosphorus and seed protein content were estimated and recorded. Total chlorophyll content of dried leaves was estimated by colorimetric method as suggested by Bruinsma (1982). Nitrogen content in leaves was determined by micro-kjeldhal's method as given by Somichi *et al.* (1972). Phosphorus content in leaves was determined by vanadomolybdate yellow colour method as given by Jackson (1967). Potassium content in leaves was determined by flame photometer by di-acid extract method given by Jackson (1967). Nitrogen content in seed was determined by micro-kjeldhal's method as given by Somichi *et al.* (1972) and same was converted into crude protein by multiplying 'N' per cent with factor 6.25. Oil content in seeds was determined by soxhlet's procedure as given by Sankaran (1965). Seed yield hectare⁻¹ was also recorded in quintal (q). The data were analyzed as per the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1954).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical and biochemical parameters

Chlorophyll content in leaves

At 25 DAS chlorophyll content in leaves ranged from 0.33 mg g⁻¹ - 0.52 mg g⁻¹. Significantly maximum chlorophyll was found in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁ and ACM₂ (0.52, 0.52, 0.51, 0.50, 0.50, 0.49, 0.49, 0.47, 0.47, 0.46, 0.45, 0.44, 0.44 mg g⁻¹ respectively). Similarly mutants, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ recorded moderate chlorophyll content in a descending manner when compared with check Bio-902 and Pusa bold. Mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ recorded minimum chlorophyll content at this stage of observation and found at par with check Bio-902.

At 45 DAS chlorophyll content in leaves was increased as compared to 25 DAS stage and it ranged from 0.98 mg g⁻¹ - 1.75 mg g⁻¹. Mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂ and ACM₆ produced significantly highest chlorophyll (1.75, 1.57, 1.56 mg g⁻¹ respectively). Mutants ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄ and ACM₉ showed significantly moderate range of chlorophyll content when compared with check Bio-902 and Pusa bold. Mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ recorded minimum chlorophyll at this stage of observation and found at par with check Bio-902.

At 65 DAS chlorophyll content in leaves was drastically reduced in all mutants and it ranged from 0.86–

1.51 mg g⁻¹. Significantly more chlorophyll content was observed in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄ and ACM₇ (1.51, 1.48, 1.36, 1.34, 1.33, 1.31 mg g⁻¹ respectively). Mutants ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄ and ACM₉ recorded significantly moderate chlorophyll content in a descending manner when compared with check Bio-902 and Pusa bold, while mutants ACM₁₇, ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ recorded minimum chlorophyll content and these mutants were found at par with both the checks in chlorophyll content. The variation in chlorophyll content during flowering stages was significant among the mutants. High yielding genotypes showed superiority in leaf chlorophyll content and photosynthesis, Uddin *et al.* (2012).

The chlorophyll is main pigment involved in photosynthetic process which is responsible for the production of dry matter and is represented by crops photosynthetic efficiency. The chlorophyll is main pigment involved in photosynthetic process which is responsible for the production of dry matter and is represented by crops photosynthetic efficiency.

Leaf chlorophyll content and seed yield were found strongly and positively correlated at all the stages of observations ($r = 0.677^{**}$, 0.717^{**} , 0.739^* at 25, 45, 65 DAS respectively).

Gitte *et al.* (2006) reported positive correlation of chlorophyll content and leaf area plant⁻¹ with seed yield of safflower. The role of chlorophyll in the production of assimilates and in turn in governing the seed yield of safflower genotype has been observed as chlorophyll content was positively and significantly associated with harvest index and seed yield, while association of leaf area with harvest index and seed yield was insignificant which indicates its less contribution in sink development than that of chlorophyll content.

Nitrogen content in leaves

Nitrogen is the important constituent of protein and protoplasm and essential for plant growth. Nitrogen deficiency causes chlorosis and malfunctioning of the photosynthesis process. Plant cells require adequate supply of N for normal cell division and growth of the plant. Tender shoots, tips of shoots, buds, leaves contains higher nitrogen (Jain, 2010).

At 25 DAS maximum nitrogen content in leaves was estimated in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅ and ACM₃ when compared with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. The rest of mutants ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ showed moderate nitrogen content in leaves and were found at par with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold.

At 45 DAS significantly maximum leaf nitrogen was recorded in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀ and ACM₅ over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. Rest of mutants ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ showed moderate nitrogen content in leaves in descending manner and were found at par with check Bio-902 and Pusa bold.

At 65 DAS significantly maximum leaf nitrogen was recorded in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆ and ACM₈. Mutants ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃ and ACM₂₀ exhibited significantly moderate leaf nitrogen content but were found superior to checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. Mutants ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ recorded minimum nitrogen content in a descending manner and were found at par with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold.

From this data it is observed that leaf nitrogen content was decreased at 45 and 65 DAS when compared with 25 DAS stage. The decrease in nitrogen content might be due to fact that younger leaves and developing organs, such as seeds act as strong sink demand and may draw heavily nitrogen from older leaves (Gardner *et al.*, 1988).

At (25, 45, 65 DAS) nitrogen content was found highly and positively correlated with seed yield ($r = 0.713^{**}$, 0.707^{**} , 0.687^{**} at 25, 45, 65 DAS respectively).

Uke *et al.* (2011) and Raut *et al.* (2012) also reported positive and significant correlation of nitrogen content in leaves with seed yield in case of mustard.

Phosphorus content in leaves

At 25 DAS range of phosphorus recorded was 0.26 – 0.47 %. Maximum phosphorus content in leaves was estimated in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, and ACM₃. Mutants ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁ and ACM₁₄ also showed significantly moderate phosphorus content in descending manner and these mutants were also found superior over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold in phosphorus content. But mutants ACM₉, ACM₁₇, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ recorded minimum phosphorus content and remain at par with the checks.

At 45 DAS range of phosphorus recorded was 0.16 - 0.38 %. Phosphorus content in leaves was significantly more in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀ and ACM₅ when compared with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold and remaining mutants also. Mutants ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉, ACM₁₇ and ACM₁₃ were recorded significantly moderate phosphorus content and were found at par with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. Mutants ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ showed significantly least phosphorus content.

At 65 DAS range of phosphorus recorded was 0.26–0.12 %. Mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄ and ACM₇ produced significantly highest phosphorus content followed by mutants ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ and check Bio-902. Similarly checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold also showed moderate phosphorus content. But mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ showed least phosphorus content when compared with checks and rest of the mutants.

It is evident from data that phosphorus content in leaves increased gradually upto 45–65 DAS and decreased at 85 DAS. It might be because of translocation of leaf phosphorus and its utilization for development of food storage organ.

Phosphorus content in leaves had shown a very high degree of correlation at 25, 45, 65 DAS with yield ($r = 0.809^{**}$, 0.816^{**} , 0.801^{**} at 25, 45, 65 DAS respectively).

Uke *et al.* (2011) and Raut *et al.* (2012) also reported significant and positive association of phosphorus content in leaves with seed yield in mustard.

Potassium content in leaves

At 25 DAS and 45 DAS potassium content in twenty mutants and two checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold ranged from 1.72–3.63% and 1.5–2.96% respectively.

Leaf potassium content at 25 DAS was significantly more in mutant ACM₁₈ followed by mutants ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉, ACM₁₇ and check Pusa bold. Significantly less potassium content in leaves was noted in mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ and these mutants were found at par with check Bio-902.

Leaf potassium content at 45 DAS was significantly more in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀ and ACM₅. Mutants ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉ and ACM₁₇ also recorded significantly moderate potassium content in leaves in descending manner when compared with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. While less potassium content was recorded in mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ and were found at par with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold.

At 65 DAS potassium content in twenty mutants and checks ranged from 1.12 –1.87 %. Leaf potassium content was decreased significantly in all mutants as compared to 25 and 45 DAS stage. It is evident from data that potassium content in leaves increased gradually upto 25–45 DAS and decreased at 65 DAS. It might be because of translocation of leaf phosphorus and its utilization for development of food storage organ. At this stage mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆ and ACM₈ recorded maximum potassium content followed by mutants ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄ and ACM₉. These all above mentioned mutants were found significantly superior over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold in potassium content in leaves. But mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ were found at par with checks Pusa bold and Bio-902 and recorded minimum potassium in leaves.

Potassium content in leaves had shown a high degree of correlation at 25, 45, 65 DAS with yield ($r = 0.687^{**}$, 0.717^{**} , 0.642^{**} at 25, 45, 65 DAS respectively).

Uke *et al.* (2011) and Raut *et al.* (2012) also reported significant and positive association of potassium content in leaves with seed yield in mustard.

Oil content in seed

Significantly maximum oil content was noticed in mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃ and ACM₂₀ over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. But mutants ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄, ACM₉, ACM₁₇, ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ recorded minimum oil content and were found at par with check Bio-

Table 1. Leaf chlorophyll, leaf N,P,K, protein and oil content in seeds of twenty mustard mutants

Sr. No.	Mutants	Leaf chlorophyll content (mg g ⁻¹)				Leaf nitrogen content (%)				Leaf phosphorus content (%)				Leaf potassium content (%)				Protein (%)	Oil (%)	Seed yield hectare ⁻¹ (q)
		25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	65 DAS	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	65 DAS	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	65 DAS	25 DAS	45 DAS	65 DAS	65 DAS			
1	ACM ₁	0.44	1.27	1.10	5.11	4.71	3.67	0.36	0.27	0.20	2.53	2.17	1.41	26.88	38.00	11.98				
2	ACM ₂	0.44	1.26	1.10	5.10	4.60	3.67	0.35	0.27	0.18	2.53	2.02	1.40	26.67	37.33	11.77				
3	ACM ₃	0.47	1.32	1.13	5.57	4.83	3.87	0.4	0.32	0.21	2.65	2.26	1.45	27.08	38.67	12.79				
4	ACM ₄	0.50	1.45	1.33	5.97	5.50	4.13	0.43	0.36	0.22	3.03	2.85	1.57	27.50	39.33	13.80				
5	ACM ₅	0.47	1.42	1.19	5.90	5.17	3.93	0.4	0.33	0.21	2.91	2.44	1.47	27.29	38.67	13.00				
6	ACM ₆	0.51	1.56	1.36	6.23	5.60	4.27	0.46	0.37	0.24	3.05	2.92	1.73	27.71	40.00	14.32				
7	ACM ₇	0.49	1.43	1.31	5.93	5.40	4.07	0.43	0.34	0.22	2.96	2.72	1.53	27.50	39.33	13.53				
8	ACM ₈	0.50	1.49	1.34	6.20	5.51	4.17	0.46	0.36	0.22	3.03	2.90	1.71	27.71	40.00	14.07				
9	ACM ₉	0.43	1.24	1.07	4.87	4.37	3.50	0.31	0.25	0.17	2.34	1.90	1.33	25.21	36.00	9.31				
10	ACM ₁₀	0.49	1.43	1.29	5.90	5.33	4.01	0.41	0.34	0.21	2.92	2.69	1.50	27.5	38.67	13.32				
11	ACM ₁₁	0.43	1.25	1.08	5.03	4.57	3.63	0.34	0.27	0.18	2.47	1.93	1.38	26.25	37.33	11.50				
12	ACM ₁₂	0.52	1.57	1.48	6.30	5.70	4.57	0.46	0.38	0.24	3.05	2.94	1.73	27.92	42.00	14.57				
13	ACM ₁₃	0.38	1.06	0.94	4.53	4.17	3.20	0.27	0.23	0.14	1.77	1.60	1.20	23.33	34.67	9.09				
14	ACM ₁₄	0.43	1.25	1.07	4.90	4.53	3.60	0.33	0.25	0.17	2.44	1.90	1.37	26.25	36.67	10.97				
15	ACM ₁₅	0.36	0.99	0.90	4.53	4.03	3.21	0.27	0.19	0.14	1.75	1.58	1.13	22.29	34.67	8.99				
16	ACM ₁₆	0.33	0.98	0.86	4.33	3.97	3.13	0.26	0.16	0.12	1.72	1.50	1.12	22.29	33.33	7.97				
17	ACM ₁₇	0.42	1.19	1.02	4.63	4.20	3.37	0.3	0.24	0.16	2.02	1.73	1.27	25.00	36.00	9.47				
18	ACM ₁₈	0.52	1.75	1.51	6.37	5.77	4.83	0.47	0.38	0.26	3.63	2.96	1.87	28.13	42.67	14.81				
19	ACM ₁₉	0.45	1.28	1.12	5.23	4.77	3.77	0.37	0.29	0.21	2.59	2.17	1.40	26.88	38.00	12.63				
20	ACM ₂₀	0.46	1.29	1.12	5.30	4.83	3.87	0.38	0.31	0.21	2.63	2.23	1.44	27.08	38.67	12.68				
21	Bio 902	0.41	1.16	0.97	4.60	4.20	3.33	0.29	0.24	0.16	2.91	2.17	1.57	24.79	36.67	9.36				
22	Pusa Bold	0.43	1.23	1.05	4.87	4.37	3.47	0.31	0.24	0.17	2.59	2.69	1.40	25.00	36.00	9.79				
	SE (m) ±	0.028	0.080	0.072	0.33	0.30	0.23	0.023	0.018	0.012	0.14	0.14	0.09	1.11	1.67	0.54				
	CD at 5%	0.080	0.23	0.20	0.95	0.86	0.68	0.066	0.050	0.034	0.42	0.41	0.25	3.16	4.79	1.55				
	Correlation	0.677**	0.717**	0.739**	0.713**	0.707**	0.687**	0.809**	0.816**	0.801**	0.687**	0.717**	0.642**	0.599**	0.627**	-				

902 and Pusa bold. Oil content exhibited a positively significant correlation with seed yield ($r = 0.627^{**}$).

Giri (2007) also reported positive and significant correlation of oil content with seed yield in case of mustard, Kadam *et al.* (2009) in case of groundnut, Moon *et al.* (2009) and Anandhan *et al.* (2010) case of sunflower.

Protein content in seed

Significantly highest amount of protein was obtained from mutant ACM₁₈ (28.13), followed mutants ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇, ACM₁₀, ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₂₀, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₉, ACM₁₁ and ACM₁₄ in descending manner. These seventeen mutants were exhibited more protein contents over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold. The Lowest amount of protein content was noticed by mutants ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ (22.29). But these three mutants were found at par with both the checks. Nitrogen is the constituent of the protein. Hence, increase in nitrogen content ultimately resulted in the increase in protein content in seeds of mustard in the present investigation.

The present values are more or less similar with the reported values of Chowdhury *et al.* (2014) and Sarker *et al.* (2015), However, these results are lower than those reported by many other authors. Prapakornwiriya and Diosady (2004) determined the protein 45.0% and 34.0% respectively and Sengupta *et al.* (2003) revealed that protein content of mustard were ranged from 44.2-44.7%.

Protein content exhibited a positive and significant correlation with seed yield ($r = 0.599^{**}$).

Seed yield ha⁻¹(q)

Data recorded for seed yield ha⁻¹ were showed significant variation. Mutants ACM₁₈, ACM₁₂, ACM₆, ACM₈, ACM₄, ACM₇ and ACM₁₀ recorded significantly maximum seed yield over checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold and remaining mutants also. Similarly ACM₅, ACM₃, ACM₂₀, ACM₁₉, ACM₁, ACM₂, ACM₁₁, ACM₁₄ and ACM₉ were showed significantly moderate seed yield when compared with checks Bio-902 and Pusa bold, while significantly minimum seed yield was recorded by mutants ACM₁₇, ACM₁₃, ACM₁₅ and ACM₁₆ when compared with two checks and remaining mutants under study.

Malek *et al.* (2017) showed that the three mutants RM-01-07, RM-10-07 and RM-04-07 produced significantly higher seed yield (1912, 1846 and 1862 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) which was 15.1, 12.1 and 11.1% higher than the mother variety Binasarisha-4 with seed yield of 1661 kg ha⁻¹. These three mutants had also the higher number of siliquae than the mother variety. This suggests that gamma rays irradiation can be fruitfully applied to develop mutants with higher seed yield and other improved agronomic traits in Oleiferous Brassica.

REFERENCES

Anandhan, T. N., Manivannan and P. Jeyakumar, 2010. Correlation for oil yield in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Electro. J. Pl. Breed.* 1 (4): 869-871.

- Anonymous, 2011. Food and Agriculture organization.
- Bruinsma, J. 1982. A comment on spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll. *Bio-chem., Bio-Phys., Acta.* 52 : 576-578.
- Chowdhury, M. F. N, Ahmed, K. U., M. Hosen, R. K. Paul and D.K Bhattacharjya, 2014. Evaluation of Grain Weight, Moisture, Dry Matter, Oil Cake, β -carotene, Oil Constant and Aflatoxin Content of Different Varieties and Advanced Lines of Mustard and Rapeseed. *IOSR . JAV Sci.* 7(6): 34-39.
- Gardner, F. P., R. B. Pearce and R. L. Mitchell, 1988. Transport and partitioning. In *physiology of crop plants*. 2nd Ed. Scientific publishers, Jodhpur. pp.58-95.
- Gitte, A.N., S.R. Patil, P. M. Thawre and M. A. Tike, 2006. Relationship of leaf area and chlorophyll content with yield of safflower. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.* 31(3): 356-357.
- Giri, G. 2007. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.)]. *J. Res. ANGRAU.* 35(1): 107-110.
- Jackson, M. L. 1967. Soil chemical analysis, Printice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, pp. 25-28.
- Jain, V.K. 2010. Mineral nutrition of plants. In *fundamentals of plant physiology*. S. Chand and company Ltd. New Delhi, Ed. 12th pp. 101-104.
- Kadam, P. S., D.T. Desai, V. N. Chinchane and V. Sharma, 2009. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *J. Oilseed Res.* 26: 63-65.
- Malek, M. A., M. Y. Rafii and M. K. Khatun, 2017. Selection of rapeseed mutants for higher yield and yield contributing traits. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 14(2): 141-146.
- Moon, M. K., V.K. Kalukhe, R. D. Ratnaparkhi and B. S. Kharat, 2009. Character association and path analysis for seed yield in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J. Oilseed Res.* 26(1): 20-22.
- Panse, V. G. and P. V. Sukhatme, 1954. Statistical methods for agriculture workers. ICAR, New Delhi 2nd p.p. 63-66.
- Prapakornwiriya, N. and L. L. Diosady, 2004. Isolation of yellow mustard proteins by a microfiltration-based process. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Eng.* 2(2): 127-135.
- Raut, M. S., R. D. Deotale, S. R. Patil, N. S. More and P. V. Kapse, 2012. Correlation and path analysis of morpho-physiological, biochemical and yield contributing characters using 12 mustard local collections. *J. Soils and Crops*, 22 (2):387-391.
- Riley, W. W. 2004. The Canadian biodiesel industry: An analysis of potential feedstocks. Biodiesel Association of Canada. Report available at www.greenfuels.org. June 19, 2008.
- Sarker, A.K., D. Saha, Hasina Begum, H. A. Zaman and M.M. Rahman, 2015. Comparison of cake compositions, pepsin digestibility and amino acids concentration of proteins isolated from black mustard and yellow mustard cakes. *AMB Express.* (5): 22.
- Sankaran, A. 1965. A laboratory manual of Agril. Chem. Asia Publ. Bombay, pp. 258.
- Somichi, Y., S. Y. Doughlus and A. P. James, 1972. Laboratory manual. Physiological studies in rice analysis for total nitrogen (organic N) in plant tissue. The inter. Res. Insti. Los Banos, Languna, Phillipine : II.
- Sengupta, K. and P.K. Das, 2013. Cultivated annual oil seeds crops of India. Department of Agriculture, Govt. of West Bengal. Published by Partha Sankar Basu. 206 Bidhan Sarani, Kolkata 700006, India.
- Uddin, M.A., F. Sultana, M.A. Ullah, K.M. Rahman and M.M. Mashrafi, 2012. Evaluation of some rapeseed mutants based on growth attributes. *J. Agrofor. Environ.* 6, 117-120.
- Uke, A. P., R. D. Deotale, J. S. Tumdam, S. R. Patil and V. B. Kalamkar, 2011. Path analysis of morpho-physiological, biochemical and yield contributing traits in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *J. Soils and Crops*, 21 (2): 285-289.

Rec. on 03.07.2019 & Acc. on 15.07.2019